



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,397	12/01/2004	Andrew John Harwood	7243-0002WOUS	5062
35301 7	590 07/20/2005		EXAM	INER
MCCORMIC	K, PAULDING & H	RIDLEY, RICHARD		
CITY PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
185 ASYLUM STREET			ARTONII	FAFER NUMBER
HARTFORD, CT 06103			3651	

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/516,397	HARWOOD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard Ridley	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $6-9-0$	<u>05</u> .					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12, 15, 18, 19 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-12,15,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Ottoch we control						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-9-05.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
(0 D						



Application/Control Number: 10/516,397

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 18, 19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Euverard USP 3809207. Euverard discloses a similar device comprising a(n):
- > Pair of parallel spaced drive means (105) that are drive chains
- > Plurality of spaced parallel rigid supports (fig. 2)
- Pair of guide tracks (fig. 2)
- > Pair of spaced retainer blocks (135) having a through hole (fig. 6)
- > Coil spring (fig. 6)
- > Tie rods (120)
- > U-shaped cross section channel (171) adapted to engage each corresponding retainer block (although the inside of the channel does not engage the block that outside of it does indeed)
- Each guide track is formed as a flat abutment face for a first part of its length [in the width direction (142 & 143; fig. 6)] and for the remainder of its length (in the width direction) provides a U-cross-section channel (171)

Application/Control Number: 10/516,397 Page 3

Art Unit: 3651

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 3.

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Euverard in 4.

view of Maeda et al. USP 6520311.

Euverard discloses all of the claim limitations but does not disclose the retainer blocks

having a guide roller arranged to engage the adjacent guide track. Euverard instead disclose the

use of a pin that slides along the guide track.

Maeda teaches the use of a guide roller (250; fig. 5) arranged to engage the adjacent

guide track for the purpose of mitigating contact friction with the guide track (C7/L35-40).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to have employed the use of guide rollers, as taught by Maeda, on the retainer blocks

of Euverard for the purpose of mitigating contact friction with the guide track.

Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: 5.

The word "bush" should be - - bushing - -

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 6. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and if rewritten to use the term - -bushing -- instead of "bush".

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Wilkins '305 & Veti '273 are particularly pertinent in teaching a u-shaped cross section channel for guiding
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Ridley whose telephone number is (571) 272-6917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3651

Richard Ridley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3651

Richard Ridley 15 July 2005